

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/607,885	TITUS ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Srirama Channavajjala	2166	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(1) Srirama Channavajjala.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) LeRoy D. Maunu Regd.No. 35,274.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 27 October 2006

**Time:** 11 AM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-21

Prior art documents discussed:

US Patent No. 6549901; US Pub.No. 2004/0243535; US Patent No. 6751617; 6549901

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

A telephone was made to applicant's representative Attorney LeRoy D. Maunu Regd.No. 35,274 about the potential amendment to the claims 1,7,14,15,16 and cancelling claims: 2,4,8-11,17,19, in order to allow the case. The representative agreed with the Examiner's proposal and authorization has given for an Examiner's Amendment.